

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
NORTHERN DIVISION

NEDOLANDEZ LEON SANTOS,
#140 896

Plaintiff,

v.

MARK LOHMAN,

Defendant.

*

*

*

*

*

2:09-CV-167-TMH
(WO)

RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Plaintiff filed this complaint on March 2, 2009. On March 11, 2009 he filed a motion to dismiss the complaint. (*Doc. No. 3.*) Upon review of the file in this case, the court concludes that Plaintiff's motion to dismiss is due to be granted.

Accordingly, it is the RECOMMENDATION of the Magistrate Judge that Plaintiff's motion to dismiss be GRANTED and that this case be dismissed without prejudice.

It is further

ORDERED that the parties are DIRECTED to file any objections to the Recommendation on or before **March 25, 2009**. Any objections filed must specifically identify the findings in the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation to which a party objects. Frivolous, conclusive or general objections will not be considered by the District Court. The parties are advised that this Recommendation is not a final order of the court and, therefore, it is not appealable.

Failure to file written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations in the Magistrate Judge's report shall bar the party from a *de novo* determination by the District Court of issues covered in the report and shall bar the party from attacking on appeal factual findings in the report accepted or adopted by the District Court except upon grounds of plain error or manifest injustice. *Nettles v. Wainwright*, 677 F.2d 404 (5th Cir. 1982). *See Stein v. Reynolds Securities, Inc.*, 667 F.2d 33 (11th Cir. 1982). *See also Bonner v. City of Prichard*, 661 F.2d 1206 (11th Cir. 1981) (*en banc*), adopting as binding precedent all of the decisions of the former Fifth Circuit handed down prior to the close of business on September 30, 1981.

Done, this 13th day of March 2009.

/s/Terry F. Moorner
TERRY F. MOORER
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE